(step l), chemisorption onto the surface (step 2), surface migration (step 3), chemisorption-absorption transition (step **4),** solid diffusion (step *5),* and phase transformation (step 6). The present investigation might be construed in part as a study of changes in surface properties of the magnesium particles caused by dispersing metal atoms into organic media, because it was confirmed from X-ray diffraction studies of Mg-THF that the hexagonal structure of magnesium was maintained in the bulk after cocondensation reaction. **In** view of the appearance of the specific activity of transition-metal clusters formed in such a way for catalytic gas-phase reaction, $13-15$ it is further deduced for the present system that the surface process involved in steps 2-4 is concerned with acceleration of the reaction of magnesium with hydrogen. To check this, attempts were made to perform H_2-D_2 equilibrium reactions, because the isotopic-exchange reaction is available as an index of metal surface activity for dissociative adsorption. When

- **(13)** Klabunde, K. J.; Davis, S. C.; Hattori, H.; Tanaka, Y. *J. Catal.* **1978,** *54,* **254.**
- **(14)** Klabunde, K. J.; Ralston, D.; Zoellner, R.; Hattori, H.; Tanaka, Y. *J. Catal.* **1978, 55, 213.**
- **(15)** Klabunde, K. J.; Tanaka, *Y. J. Mol. Catal.* **1983,** *21,* **57.**

Mg-THF was outgassed at 200 $^{\circ}$ C and was brought into contact with equimolar amounts of H_2 and D_2 (each 39 torr), rapid formation of HD occurred even at $0 °C$ and its rate was 1.35×10^{19} molecules $s^{-1} \cdot g^{-1}$. On the other hand, the activated pure magnesium was inactive under these conditions and exhibited only a slight activity at 240 $^{\circ}$ C. In the course of our study on the improvement of sorption properties of metal hydrides using the modification techniques, $16-19$ it has been shown that surface-treating the Mg-THF particles with aromatic molecules enhances the hydrogen uptake rate of magnesium as a result of the formation of electron donor-acceptor complexes on the particle surface.⁸ Accordingly, the marked effect of aromatics would appear to eliminate internal steps as rate controlling, i.e., steps 5 and 6, and we can conclude that the surface processes are important in Mg-THF.

Registry No. Magnesium, 7439-95-4; hydrogen, 1333-74-0.

-
- (16) Imamura, H.; Tsuchiya, S. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1981, 567.
(17) Imamura, H.; Takahashi, T.; Tsuchiya, S. J. Catal. 1982, 77, 289.
(18) Imamura, H.; Takahashi, T.; Galleguillos, R.; Tsuchiya, S. J. Less-
Common
-
- **(19)** Imamura, H.; Tsuchiya, *S. J. Chem.* **SOC.,** *Faraday Trans.* **1 1983, 79, 1461.**

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, New York 14214

Electrochemical and Spectroscopic Studies of Polypyridine Complexes of Fe(II/III) and Ru(II/III) in the Aluminum Chloride-N- 1-Butylpyridinium Chloride Molten Salt

SAEED SAHAMI and ROBERT A. OSTERYOUNG*

Received September 30, *1983*

The electrochemical and spectroscopic behavior of $Fe(bpy)_3^{2+}$, $Fe(phen)_3^{2+}$, and $Ru(bpy)_3^{2+}$ in an ambient-temperature molten salt system, aluminum chloride-N- I-butylpyridinium chloride (BuPyCl), has been studied as a function of melt composition. The complexes show a single one-electron reversible oxidation wave from melt compositions of 1:1 to 2:1 (AlC1,:BuPyCl mole ratio). Visible spectroscopic and electrochemical data indicate that these compounds are stable in the neutral (1:1) and acid (mole ratio >1) melts, while Ru(bpy)₃²⁺ is stable in basic melts (mole ratio <1), but Fe(bpy)₃²⁺ and Fe(phen)₃²⁺ decompose to FeCl₄²⁻. Comparison of the formal potentials for M(III/II)-polypyridine couples studied in this melt and in other solvents indicates that the redox properties of these complexes are relatively insensitive to the nature of the solvent. It was shown that oxygen acts as an oxidant in the acid melts to oxidize Fe(bpy),²⁺, Fe(phen)₃²⁺, and Ru(bpy) 3^{2+} to their corresponding 3+ forms. The 3+ form of each complex was stable in acid melts for several weeks. Perchlorate is also shown to function as an oxidant in acidic melts.

Introduction

The molten salt system composed of aluminum chloride and *N-* 1 -butylpyridinium chloride (BuPyCl) is liquid at ambient temperatures (\sim 30 °C) over a wide compositional range varying from ~ 0.7 :1 to 2:1 (mole ratio ot AlCl₃ to BuPyCl).^{1,2} The Lewis acid-base properties of these melts change as the mole ratio of AlCl, to BuPyCl changes. The melts can be characterized as acidic, basic, or neutral depending on the mole ratio of $AICI₃$ to BuPyCl being greater than, less than, or equal to unity.³ In the acidic melts, anionic species are $Al_2Cl_7^-$ and AlCl₄⁻, in basic melts AlCl₄⁻ and Cl⁻, and in neutral melts AlCl₄⁻. It has been shown that equilibrium 1 with $log K =$ -16.9 ± 2^4 provides an adequate description of the system throughout the entire range of melt composition.2

$$
2\text{AlCl}_4^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Al}_2\text{Cl}_7^- + \text{Cl}^- \tag{1}
$$

In view of their nonlability and extreme stability in the absence of direct illumination, low-spin complexes of Ru- $(bpy)_{3}^{2+}$, Fe(bpy)₃²⁺, and Fe(phen)₃²⁺ (where bpy = 2,2'bipyridine and phen $= 1,10$ -phenanthroline) were chosen to study in AlCl,-BuPyCl melts. These complexes were selected for several reasons. Initially we were interested to know whether these compounds are stable in this molten salt media, i.e. whether the reactant's coordination sphere remains intact while the Lewis acid-base properties of the melts are varied. A second aspect of this work was the examination of the possible use of these complexes as internal reference couples. **In** addition, the use of these melts as solvents for modifiedelectrode studies, which includes Ru(II)/Ru(III) polymers, suggested such studies.⁵

Spontaneous oxidation of hydrocarbons in highly acidic AlC1,-BuPyCl melts has been observed by Robinson and Osteryoung.' The slow chemical oxidation of iodide in acidic $AICI₃-BuPyCl$ melts has been suggested to be due to a reaction

⁽¹⁾ Robinson, J.; Osteryoung, R. **A.** *J. Am. Chem.* **SOC. 1979, 101, 323. (2)** Gale, R. **J.;** Osteryoung, R. **A.** *Inorg. Chem.* **1979, 18, 1603.**

⁽³⁾ Lipsztajn, M.; Osteryoung, R. A. J. Electrochem. Soc. 1983, 130, 1968.
(4) Karpinski, Z. J.; Osteryoung, R. A. Inorg. Chem. 1984, 23, 1491.

⁽⁵⁾ Pickup, P. **G.;** Osteryoung, R. **A.** *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **1983, 103, 1965.**

with traces of impurity present in the drybox atmosphere, probably molecular oxygen.⁶ To determine the true nature of the oxidizing species in the melt, these polypyridine complexes proved to be useful.

The electrochemistry of these transition-metal complexes has been studied in both protic and aprotic solvents.^{$7-17$} The oxidation states frequently observed for these complexes are **2+** and **3+.** Polypyridine complexes of Ru and Fe with total charges of $1+$, 0, and $1-$ have been observed in solvents with very negative potential windows such as acetonitrile^{7,9} and N , N -dimethylformamide.⁸ Recently more highly oxidized species such as $Ru(bpy)_{3}^{4+}$, Fe(bpy)₃⁴⁺, and Fe(bpy)₃⁵⁺ have been reported in liquid sulfur dioxide.¹³ We report here electrochemical and spectroscopic investigations of Fe- $(bpy)_{3}^{2+/3+}$, Fe(phen)₃^{2+/3+}, and Ru(bpy)₃^{2+/3+} in ambienttemperature AlC1,-BuPyCI ionic liquids.

Experimental Section

Preparation of N-1-butylpyridinium chloride and purification of aluminum chloride have been described elsewhere.¹ $Ru(bpy)$, Cl_2 . $6H₂O$, Fe(bpy)₃(ClO₄)₂, and Fe(phen)₃(ClO₄)₂ (G. F. Smith Chemical Co.), FeCl₂ (Alfa Products), FeCl₃ (Fisher), 2,2'-bipyridine (Baker), and 1,lO-phenanthroline were used without further purification. Tetraethylammonium perchlorate (TEAP, Baker) was dried in a vacuum oven and used for experiments testing the ability of perchlorate to function as an oxidant. Aluminum wire (Alfa **Products)** was cleaned in a 30:30:40 volume mixture of H_2SO_4 -HNO₃-H₃PO₄, rinsed with water, and dried. Chemicals were stored and all electrochemical experiments performed under argon atmosphere in a Vaccum Atmospheres Co. drybox.

A Metrohm glass cell covered with a Teflon lid that had several holes for reference electrode and counterelectrode compartments, working electrode, and thermometer was used for the electrochemical measurements. The entire cell assembly was placed in a furnace and the temperature controlled at 40 ± 1 °C by a Thermo Electric Selector 800 temperature controller. Reference electrode and counterelectrode compartments were aluminum wires dipped into the 2:1 AlCl₃:BuPyCl melt, and both were separated from the working compartment by fine glass frits. A glass-carbon-disk (GC) electrode obtained from Pine Instrument Co. was used as the working electrode. The GC (with the area of 0.196 or 0.454 cm²) was polished with successively finer grades of 1.0-, 0.3-, and 0.05- μ m alumina (Buehler) and then rinsed with water and air-dried prior to transfer to the drybox.

All voltammograms were obtained with a EG&G PARC 175 universal programmer with a PARC 173 potentiostat and a Houston Omnigraph Model 2000 recorder. A pine Instrument Co. electrode rotator (Model ASR2) was used for rotating-disk electrode **(RDE)** studies.

UV and visible absorption spectra were recorded in either 0.1 or 1.0 cm path length quartz cells fitted with airtight Teflon caps with a Perkin-Elmer (Coleman 575) spectrophotometer. The cells were filled and sealed in the drybox.

- *(6)* **Karpinski, Z. J.; Osteryoung, R. A.** *J. Electroanal. Chem. Interfacial Electrochem.* **1984,** *164,* **281.**
- **(7) Tokel, N. E.; Hemingway, R. E.; Bard, A. J.** *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1973, 95. 6582.**
- (8) Saji, T.; Aoyagui, S. *J. Electroanal. Chem. Interfacial Electrochem.*
1975, *58*, 401.
- **(9) Saji, T.; Aoyagui, S.** *J. Elecrroanal. Chem. Interfacial Electrochem.* **1975,** *60,* **1.**
- **(10) Saji, T.; Aoyagui,** *S. J. Electroanal. Chem. Interfacial Electrochem.* **1975, 63, 3 1.**
- **(1 1) Tanaka, N.; Sato, Y.** *Electrochim. Acta* **1968, 13, 335.**
- **(12) Mayer, U.; Kotocova, A.; Gutmann, V.** *J. Electroanal. Chem. Interfa- cial Electrochem.* **1979, 103, 409.**
- **(13) Gaudiello, J. G.; Sharp, P. R.; Bard, A. J.** *J. Am. Chem.* **Soc. 1982,104,**
-
- **6373. (14) Ogura, K.; Miyamoto, K.** *Electrochim. Acta* **1978, 23, 509. (15) Samec, Z.; Nemec, I.** *J. Electroanal. Chem. Interfacial Electrochem.* **1971, 31, 161.**
- **(16) Sahami,** *S.;* **Weaver, M. J.** *J. Electroanal. Chem. Interfacial Electro- chem.* **1981, 122, 155.**
- **(17) (a) Yee, E. L.; Cave, R. J.; Guyer, K. L.; Tyma, P. D.; Weaver, M. J.** *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1979. 101. 1131. (b) Yee. E. L.: Weaver, M. J.** *Inorg. Chem.* **1980, 19, 1077**

Figure 1. Cyclic voltammograms in 1.05:1 AlCl₃-BuPyCl melts (scan rate 50 mV/s; $T = 40$ °C): (a) 3.2 mM Fe(bpy)₃²⁺, GC electrode with $A = 0.454$ cm²; (b) 1.8 mM Fe(phen)₃²⁺, GC electrode with A = 0.454 cm²; (c) 2.8 mM Ru(bpy)₃²⁺, GC electrode with $A = 0.196$ cm2.

Figure 2. Plots of current at various potentials vs. $\omega^{1/2}$ for the oxidation of Fe(bpy)₃²⁺ (dashed lines) and $Fe(phen)_{3}^{2+}$ (solid lines) in 1.0:1.0 AlCl₃-BuPyCl. E in mV: (1) 1000; (2) 1050; (3) 1300.

Results

1. Electrochemistry. a. Oxidation of Fe(hpy)_3^{2+} **and** Fe- **(phen)**²⁺. Fe(bpy)₃(ClO₄)₂ and Fe(phen)₃(ClO₄)₂ both dissolve in the neutral (mole ratio 1.0) and acid (mole ratio >1) melts and give intense red solutions. The solubility of Fe- $(phen)_3(CIO_4)_2$ is less than that of $Fe(bpy)_3(CIO_4)_2$, especially in the neutral melt. In Figure 1, typical cyclic voltammograms at a GC electrode are shown for $Fe(bpy)_3^{2+}$ and $Fe(phen)_3^{2+}$, respectively. Cyclic and rotating-disk electrode voltammograms for oxidation of polypryridine complexes of iron(I1) were

Table I. Summary of Voltammetric Parameters for Fe(bpy), ^{2+/3+} in AlCl₃-BuPyCl Melts^a

melt compositn ^b	cyclic voltammetric data						RDE data		
	scan rate (v) , mV/s	$E_{\rm p}^{\rm c}$, mV	$E_{\rm p}^{\rm a}$, mV	E_{f} , mV	$\Delta E_{\textbf{p}},$ mV	$i_{\rm p}^{\rm a}/i_{\rm p}^{\rm c}$	$i_p^{\alpha/\overline{\nu^{1/2}}},$ mA cm ⁻² $V^{-1/2} s^{1/2}$	rotation rate, rpm	$E_{1/2}$, mV
1:1	10	927	992	960	65	1.00	0.507	600	957
	20	927	992	960	65	1.01	0.510	900	959
	50	922	996	959	74	1.01	0.514	1600	960
	100	920	998	959	78	1.00	0.515	2000 2500	959 963
1.05:1	10	935	1000	968	65	1.01	0.413	400	965
	20	935	1000	968	65	1.02	0.420	600	965
	50	936	1002	969	66	1.01	0.428	900	967
	100	931	1005	968	74	1.00	0.430	1600	970
	200	930	1010	970	80	1.00	0.433	2000	972
1.2:1	10	950	1015	982	65	1.01	0.451	600	980
	20	950	1015	982	65	1.01	0.478		
	50	948	1015	981	67	1.00	0.480		
	100	945	1020	982	75	1.01	0.494		
1.4:1	10	963	1025	994	62	1.01	0.407	600	990
	20	963	1025	994	62	1.00	0.412		
	50	960	1028	994	68	0.99	0.414		
	100	960	1030	995	70	1.02	0.414		
1.7:1	10	990	1055	1022	65	1.02	0.385	600	1020
	20	990	1055	1022	65	1.04	0.389		
	50	990	1057	1023	67	1.01	0.379		
	100	985	1060	1022	75	1.00	0.383		
1.9:1	10	1007	1073	1040	66	0.98	0.363	600	1038
	20	1007	1073	1040	66	0.99	0.358		
	50	1007	1075	1041	68	1.00	0.364		
	100	1005	1075	1040	70	0.99	0.369		

^a All potentials are in mV vs. Al/Al(III) in 2:1 AlCl₃-BuPyCl, at $T = 40$ °C. ^b Mole ratio of AlCl₃:BuPyCl.

Figure 3. Plots of E vs. log $(I_1 - I)/I$ for the oxidation of (1) Fe- $(bpy)_3^2$ ⁺, (2) Fe(phen)₃²⁺, and (3) Ru(bpy)₃²⁺ in 1.05:1 AlCl₃-BuPyCl.

recorded as a function of melt acidity. Tabulations of cyclic and RDE voltammetric parameters, anodic to cathodic peak current ratios i_p^a/i_p^c , the difference between the anodic and
cathodic peak potentials $\Delta E_p = E_p^a - E_p^c$, and $i_p^a/\nu^{1/2}$ for
Fe(bpy)₃^{2+/3+} and Fe(phen)₃^{2+/3+} are presented in Tables I and II, respectively. As shown in Figure 2, plots of the current vs. the square root of the electrode rotation rate at potentials on the rising portion of the waves and on the plateaus for oxidation of both complexes in the neutral melt were linear and passed through the origin, indicating that the oxidation is reversibly convective diffusion controlled.¹⁸ Plots of E vs.

Bard, A. J.; Faulkner, L. R. "Electrochemical Methods"; Wiley: New (18) York, 1980; p 288.

Figure 4. RDE voltammograms for a 1.2:1 AlCl₃-BuPyCl melt with initial concentration of 3.8 mM $Fe(bpy)_{3}(ClO₄)_{2}$, recorded as a function of time (scan rate 5 mV/s; rotation rate 600 rpm; $T = 40$ °C; GC electrode with $A = 0.454$ cm²). Time in minutes: (1) 0; (2) 35; (3) 65; (4) 110; (5) 300.

 $log (I_1 - I)/I$, constructed from RDE data for oxidation of both polypyridine complexes of Fe(II), were linear with slopes of 65 ± 1 mV $(2.3RT/F = 62$ mV at 40 °C) (see Figure 3). Solutions of $Fe(bpy)_3^{2+}$ and $Fe(phen)_3^{2+}$ in the neutral melt were found to be stable for a period of over 1 week. There was no change in the color of the solutions or their visible spectra. RDE voltammograms were recorded daily for polypyridine complexes of Fe(II) in the neutral melt. The values of $E_{1/2}$ and anodic limiting current, I_1^a , were found to be constant within experimental error for at least 7 days. When a neutral melt containing either of the polypyridine complexes of iron was made acidic by addition of AlCl₃, RDE voltam-

^{*a*} All potentials are in mV vs. Al/Al(III) in 2:1 AlCl₃-BuPyCl, at $T = 40^{\circ}$ C. ^{*b*} Mole ratio of AlCl₃:BuPyCl.

mograms showed both cathodic and anodic current, indicating the presence of both the reduced and oxidized forms of (bpy) , and (phen) , complexes of iron in the solution. Indeed in the 1.05:1 melt the cathodic limiting current, I_1^c , is <5% of the total limiting current, $I_1^t = I_1^c + I_1^a$. As the acidity of the melt increased, the I_1^c/I_1^a ratio also increased and the color of the solution changes from red to dark brown and finally to blue. When the melt composition approached 1.9:1, almost all the complex was in the oxidized form. This oxidation of Fe(bpy)₃²⁺ and Fe(phen)₃²⁺ occurred not only when the melt acidity was increased (i.e., increase in AlCl₃ concentration) but also, as shown in Figure 4, even when a 1.2:1 melt containing 3.8 mM Fe(bpy) 3^{2+} was kept in the drybox, where I_1^{c} increased with time while I_1^a decreased. After about 2 h I_1^c was \sim 3 times larger and $I_1^a \sim$ 3 times smaller than their initial values. Thus, $\sim 75\%$ of the Fe(bpy)₃²⁺ was oxidized to Fe- $(bpy)_3^3$ ⁺ in less than 2 h and evautually almost all of it was oxidized. It has been suggested that the slow chemical oxidation of I⁻ (with $E_{1/2} \approx 1$ V), in an acidic AlCl₃-BuPyCl melt, resulted from a reaction with traces of impurity present in the drybox atmosphere, possibly molecular oxygen.⁶ Although the drybox atmosphere had an oxygen level of ≤ 5 ppm, it may have been enough to slowly oxidize both $Fe(bpy)_{3}^{2+}$ and Fe(phen)₃²⁺ complexes to their corresponding $3+$ forms, although perchlorate can also function as an oxidant (see below). The formation of the oxidized forms of these complexes was proved by both RDE experiments and the visible spectra of the complexes (see below). Neither the reduction of oxygen nor the electrochemistry from its reduction product could be seen directly by the electrochemical techniques. To show that O_2 was an oxidizing species, several other experiments were performed. Acidic AlCl₃-BuPyCl melts with various compositions (1.07:1, 1.2:1, and 1.9:1) were prepared, and $Fe(phen)_{3}(ClO₄)_{2}$ was dissolved in them. These solutions were taken outside the drybox in sealed tubes. When these solutions were purged with oxygen, their color changed from red to blue (although the color change in the less acidic melt,

1.07:1, was slower than in the other melts). The spectra of these solutions were identical with those for acidic solutions of Fe(phen)₃²⁺, which were oxidized slowly to Fe(phen)₃³⁺ in the drybox. These results clearly indicate that oxygen in the acid melts can oxidize $Fe(bpy)_3^{2+}$ and $Fe(phen)_3^{2+}$ to Fe- $(bpy)_3^3$ ⁺ and Fe(phen)₃³⁺, respectively. The rate of the oxidation of these complexes appears to depend on both the amount of oxygen present in the drybox atmosphere and the melt acidity (see below, however). It is obvious from our results that the oxidation of any of these complexes in a given melt by traces of oxygen or perchlorate (see below) does not
affect the E_f or $E_{1/2}$ values. The only changes were in the
values of I_1^c and I_1^a . In any case the sum of $I_1^c + I_1^a$ (i.e., I_1 ^t) remained unchanged within experimental error. The oxidized forms of these polypyridine complexes of iron, i.e., $Fe(bpy)_3^{3+}$ and $Fe(bhen)_3^{3+}$, were stable in acid melts for at least 2 weeks.

The possibility that perchlorate could function as an oxidant in these systems was examined. As mentioned above, both Fe(phen) 2^{2+} and Fe(bpy) 2^{2+} were added to the melts as perchlorate salts and underwent slow oxidation in the acidic melts, some of which, as demonstrated above, was due to oxygen but some of which might have been due to the presence of perchlorate. As described below, although the oxidation of the $Ru(bpy)²⁺$ complex was observed in very acidic (1.7:1) melts, it appeared much slower than that of the corresponding Fe(II) complexes. Since the $Ru(bpy)^{2+}$ salts were chlorides, they were used in experiments to ascertain the effect of perchlorate as an oxidant. If a 40-fold excess of TEAP was added to a 1.7:1 AlCl₃-BuPyCl melt containing ca. 1 mM Ru(bpy)²⁺, the orange solution took about 30 min to change to green. This compares to much longer periods of time in the absence of perchlorate (see below). In a 1.1:1 melt, visible changes in color took place much more slowly; this was under conditions where, in the absence of pechlorate, no change in the solution color or electrochemistry was noted. In both basic and neutral (i.e., 1.0:1.0) melts, no indication of the oxidation of $Ru(bpy)^{2+}$

Figure 5. Voltammograms for 3.1 mM $Fe(bpy)_{3}(ClO₄)_{2}$ dissolved in a 0.95:1 AlCl₃-BuPyCl melt (which actually corresponds to 3.1 mM FeCl₄², see text) ($T = 40$ °C; GC electrode with $A = 0.454$ cm²): (a) cyclic voltammogram with scan rate 100 mV/s; (b) **RDE** voltammogram with scan rate *5* mV/s and rotation rate 600 rpm.

was noted from spectroscopic measurements. These observations indicate that pechlorate, like oxygen, can act as an oxidant only in the acidic melt, that, qualitatively, the oxidation rate increases with increasing melt acidity, and that there is no oxidation by perchlorate in the basic or neutral melt. Further experiments on this are in progress.¹⁹

As in the case in other solvents, it was not possible to generate Fe(bpy)₃³⁺ or Fe(phen)₃³⁺ simply by adding ligands to an acidic solution of $AICI_3-BuPyCl$ containing $FeCl_3$. However, the polypyridine complexes of Fe(I1) were easily obtained in acid melts by mixing $FeCl₂$ and ligands.

In basic AlCl₃-BuPyCl melts (mole ratio <1), it was found that complexes of both $(Fe(bpy)_3^{2+}$ and $Fe(phen)_3^{2+}$ decompose and form FeCl₄²⁻²⁰ When either of these complexes was dissolved in the basic melt, a red solution was obtained initially, which changed within minutes to a light yellow. Cyclic and RDE voltammograms for $Fe(bpy)_3(C1O_4)_2$ in a 0.95:1 AlCl₃-BuPyCl melt are shown in Figure 5. These voltammograms actually correspond to the oxidation of $\text{FeCl}_4{}^{2-}$ to $FeCl₄$. There is excellent agreement between these results and those obtained by Nanjundiah et al., who studied the $FeCl₄^{2-/-}$ couple in basic AlCl₃-BuPyCl melts.²⁰ In the "neutral" melt, i.e., mole ratio 1.0, where the concentration of Cl⁻ is negligible, both $Fe(bpy)_{3}^{2+}$ and $Fe(phen)_{3}^{2+}$ are stable. We found, however, that the addition of even **20** mM BuPyCl $(i.e., Cl^-)$ to a neutral melt containing a $3-4$ mM concentration of either of the polypyridine complexes of Fe(I1) was sufficient to cause their dissociation to $FeCl₄²⁻$. Although Cl⁻ is a relatively poor nucleophile in aqueous solutions, it seems to be highgly reactive toward charged ions in aprotic solvents.²¹ An investigation of decomposition of tris(phenanthroline)iron(II) in dimethyl sulfoxide $(Me₂SO)$ showed that Fe- $(phen)_3^{2+}$ reacts rapidly with chloride.^{21,22} The reaction was

Figure 6. Aluminum-oxygen cell configuration.

assumed to be due to anation by chloride, leading to the formation of the blue $Fe(phen)₂Cl₂ complex.²¹$ Thus, the complete dissociation of $\text{Fe}(L)$, $2^{\frac{1}{4}}$ (where $L =$ bpy or phen) to FeCl_4^2 ⁻ (see eq 2) in basic AlCl₃-BuPyCl is not surprising.

$$
Fe(L)32+ + 4Cl- \rightleftharpoons FeCl42- + 3L
$$
 (2)

b. Oxidation of $Ru(bpy)_{3}^{2+}$. $Ru(bpy)_{3}Cl_{2} \cdot 6H_{2}O$ dissolves throughout the entire range of melt composition, giving highly colored orange solutions. The electrochemical oxidation of this complex has been studied by cyclic and rotating-disk voltammetry. A typical cyclic voltammogram at a GC electrode is shown in Figure 1. Cyclic and rotating-disk electrode voltammograms for oxidation of $Ru(bpy)_{3}^{2+}$ were examined as a function of melt composition from **0.8:l** to **2:l.** Data obtained from these voltammograms are listed in Table 111. $Ru(bpy)_{3}^{2+}$ showed no oxidation or reduction in the basic melt. In acidic or neutral melts only a single oxidation wave was obtained. Table 111 shows scan rate dependencies of peak potentials and peak currents for the $Ru(bpy)_{3}^{2+/3+}$ couple. The ratio of 1 for i_p^a/i_p^c indicates that the electron transfer is reversible over the entire acidic melt composition. For a diffusion-controlled process, $i_0^a/\nu^{1/2}$ should be constant and independent of scan rate; this is true for the present case. Although ΔE_p increases slightly with the scan rate, it does not change with variation in the melt composition. The experimental peak separations (64-70 mV) correspond closely to the theoretical value for a one-electron process of 62 mV at 40 ^oC. Plots of *E* vs. log $[(I_1 - I)/I]$ in all melt compositions studied were linear with slopes of 65 ± 1 mV (see Figure 3).

As with the polypyridine complexes of Fe(II), RDE voltammograms showed that when the acidity of $Ru(bpy)_{3}^{2+}$ containing melt increased there was a small cathodic current due to the reduction of $Ru(bpy)_3^{3+}$ present in solution. This cathodic current could only be observed when the melt acidity (mole ratio) was greater than \sim 1.7:1. Apparently the oxidation of $Ru(bpy)_{3}^{2+}$ is slower than that of the polypyridine complexes of Fe(II). The initial I_1^c in a solution of 1.9:1 AlCl₃-BuPyCl containing $Ru(bpy)_{3}^{2+}$ was about 5% of the Ilt. Even after 2 weeks I_1^c was less than 55% of I_1^l , indicating that \sim 55% of Ru(bpy)₃²⁺ was oxidized to Ru(bpy)₃³⁺. On going from the reduced to the oxidized form of tris(bipyridine)ruthenium the color of the solution changed from orange to dusty brown and finally to green. As before, the nature of the oxidant (i.e., traces of O_2 in the drybox atmosphere) was confirmed by purging acidic solutions of AlCl₃-BuPyCl containing $Ru(bpy)_{3}^{2+}$ with oxygen. Although it was possible to oxidize these solutions (in sealed tubes) over the melt compositions of **1.2:l** to **1.9:l** by oxygen, the time needed to convert $Ru(bpy)_{3}^{2+}$ to $Ru(bpy)_{3}^{3+}$ (i.e., change of color from orange to green) was longer as the acidity decreased. The green solutions of $Ru(bpy)_{3}^{3+}$ were found to be stable for months.

c. An Aluminum-Oxygen Cell. In view of the observed oxidation of the polypyridine complexes of Fe(I1) and Ru(I1)

⁽¹⁹⁾ Sahami, S.; Osteryoung, R. A., unpublished results.
(20) Najundiah, C.; Shimizu, K.; Osteryoung, R. A. J. E.

⁽²⁰j Najundiah,' C.; S6miz;; **K.;** Osterioung, R. **A.** *J. Electrochem. SOC.* **1982,** 129, 2414.

⁽²²⁾ Farrington, D. J.; Jones, **J.** *G.;* **Twigg, M. V.** *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1977,** *25, L15.*

^{*a*} All potentials are in mV vs. Al/Al(III) in 2:1 AlCl₃-BuPyCl, at $T = 40 °C$. ^{*b*} Mole ratio of AlCl₃:BuPyCl.

Figure 7. Absorption spectra of a 1.05:1 AlCl₃-BuPyCl (dashed line) and 0.96 mM $Ru(bpy)_{3}^{2+}$ in 1.05:1 AlCl₃-BuPyCl melt (solid line) (cell thickness 0.1 cm).

by oxygen in acidic melts, an attempt was made to see if a cell using oxygen as an oxidant could be made. The reduction of O_2 by $Ru(bpy)_3^{2+}$ is potentially a useful reaction for batteries since $Ru(bpy)_{3}^{3+}$ is a strong and facile oxidizing agent. We have made a preliminary investigation²³ of this possibility by constructing the cell shown in Figure 6. Aluminum **ox**idation occurs at the anode and $Ru(bpy)_{3}^{3+}$ reduction occurs at the cathode. $Ru(bpy)_{3}^{3+}$ is regenerated in the acid melt

Figure 8. Absorption spectra of 1.17 mM $Fe(bpy)_3^{2+}$ in a 1:1 melt, with cell thickness 0.1 cm (dashed line), and 2.5 mM Fe(phen)₃³⁺ in a **1.9:l** melt, with cell thickness 1 cm (solid line).

by oxygen, and so $Ru(bpy)_3^{2+}$ acts as a mediator for O_2 reduction at the cathode. Thus the net cell reactions are A1 oxidation and O_2 reduction; the product of O_2 reduction in the melt is not known at present but is probably $AIOCl₂^-$. Reduction of $Ru(bpy)_{3}^{3+}$ by aluminum is extremely slow so no separator was necessary. The cell of Figure 6 gave an open-
circuit potential of \sim 1.4 V and passed a charge corresponding to 400 turnovers of the ruthenium complex with no significant deterioration. The potential is that which is expected on the basis of the Ru(bpy)₃^{3+/2+} voltammogram (see Figure 1c). The current was limited by mass transport of $Ru(bpy)_{3}^{3+}$ to the cathode.

⁽²³⁾ Pickup, P. G.; Sahami, S.; **Osteryoung,** R. **A., unpublished work.**

Figure 9. Absorption spectra of 1.45 mM $Fe(phen)_3^2$ ⁺ in a 1:1 melt, with cell thickness 0.1 cm $(--)$, 1.7 mM $Ru(bpy)_3^{3+}$ in a 1.9:1 melt, with cell thickness 0.1 cm (---), and 3.87 mM $Fe(bpy)_3^{3+}$ in a 1.2:1 melt, with cell thickness 1 cm $(-)$.

Table **IV.** Spectroscopic Data for Polypyridine Complexes of Ru and Fe in AlCl₃-BuPyC1 Melts^a

			λ_{max} , nm (ϵ , M ⁻¹ cm ⁻¹) ^b
complex	color	this work	lit. values
$Ru(bpy)_{3}^{2+}$	orange	454 (14 000)	453 (13 800), ^c 450 $(14000)^d$
$Ru(bpy)$ ³⁺	green	424 (3200)	418 $(-3000)^c$
$Fe(bpy)$, $2+$	red	523 (8700)	522 $(8740)^e$ 520 $(8240)^f$
$Fe(bpy)$ ³⁺	greenish blue	627 (380)	618 (284), g 613 (289) ^{<i>h</i>}
$Fe(phen)$, $2+$	red	512 (10800)	510 (11 300), ^{i} 510 (11 100)
$Fe(phen)$, $3+$	blue	~10(800)	590 $(600)^j$ 602 $(870)^k$

^a These spectra were obtained in either the neutral or acidic ^a These spectra were obtained in either the neutral or acidic
 m elts (see text for details). ^b Molar absorptivities are accurate to
 $+5\%$. ^c Reference 24. ^d Reference 25. ^e Reference 26. f Ref-
 $\frac{27}{2}$ s erence 27. **F** Reference 24. **d** Reference 25. **e** Reference 26. **f** Reference 27. **f** Reference 23. **d** Reference 28. **d** Reference 29. j Reference 30. k Reference 31.</sup></sup>

2. Spectroscopy. UV-visible absorption spectra for both the bivalent and the trivalent complexes of tris(bipyridine) complexes of iron and ruthenium and tris(phenanthroline)iron in the neutral and acidic $AICI_3-BuPyCl$ melts are shown in Figures 7-9. The trivalent compounds were obtained by the chemical oxidation of the respective divalent complexes in acid melts either by bubbling with oxygen or by trace of O₂ impurity present in the drybox atmosphere. The spectrum of $Ru(bpy)_3^{2+}$ did not change as the melt composition varied from basic to acidic. Thus $Ru(bpy)_3^{2+}$, unlike Fe(bpy)₃²⁺ and $Fe(phen)_3^{2+}$ complexes, does not decompose in the basic melt. The spectra of $Fe(bpy)_{3}^{2+}$ and $Fe(phen)_{3}^{2+}$ in the neutral melt are identical with their respective spectra in the slightly acidic melt. In Table IV the molar absorptivities and maxima wavelengths obtained in this work was summarized and compared with those reported in other solvents.²⁴⁻³¹ There is good

- **(24)** Braddock, **J.** N.; Meyer, T. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1973,** *95,* **3158. (25)** Staniewicz, R. **J.;** Sympson, R. F.; Hendricker, **D.** G. *Inorg.* Chem.
- **1977,** *16,* **2166.**
- **(26) Bryant, G. M.; Fergusson, J. E.; Powell, H. K. J.** *Aust. J. Chem.* **1971,** *24*, 257.
- **(27)** Wong, C. L.; Kochi, **J.** K. *J. Am. Chem.* **SOC. 1979,** *101,* **5593.**
- (28) Bryant, G. M.; Fergusson, J. E. *Aust. J. Chem.* 1971, 24, 275.
(29) Nord, G.; Pederson, B.; Bjergbakke, E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1983, 105,
- **1913.**
- **(30)** Schilt, A. A. 'Analytical Applications of l,l0-Phenanthrolinc and **Re**lated Compounds"; Pergamon Press: London, **1969;** p **36.**

Table **V.** Formal Potentials for Polypyridine Complexes of Ru(lI/III) and Fe(II/III) in Various Solvents vs. Ferrocene/Ferrocenium Couple

	E_1^a				
solvent	Fe-	Fe-	Ru-		
	$(phen)32+/3+$	$(bpy)_{3}^{2+/3+}$	$(bpy)_{3}^{2+/3+}$		
water	743 ^b	718 ^b	921^{b}		
acetonitrile	686c	670 ^d	900 ^e		
$1:1$ AlCl ₂ -	730 ^f	690 ^t	8941		
BuPyCl nitromethane	721c	727 ^d			

a The formal potential for each redox couple is quoted in mV vs. E_f for the ferrocene/ferrocenium couple in the same solvent: i.e., E_f for the ferrocene/ferrocenium couple in the same solvent: i.e.,
 $E_f = E_f$ (redox couple) – E_f (ferrocene/ferrocenium). ^b Reference
17. ^c Reference 12. ^d Reference 16. ^e Reference 37. ^f This work.

agreement between positions of the absorption maxima determined here and those from the literature. The intense bands in the visible regions of these compounds are due to the transfer of electronic charge between the d orbital of the metal ion and the π orbitals of the ligand. For the bivalent complexes charge transfer takes place from the metal to the ligand, i.e., $t_{2g} \rightarrow$ π^* (MLCT).^{24,25,30} In the case of trivalent complexes the transfer takes place from the metal to the ligand, i.e., $t_{2g} \rightarrow \pi^*$ (MLCT).^{24,25,30} In the case of trivalent complexes the charge transfer is from the ligand to the metal, i.e., $\pi \rightarrow t_{2g}$
(1 MCT).^{27,31} In additio $(LMCT).^{27,31}$ In addition to these bands, there are other bands in the shorter wavelength portions of all spectra, which because of their higher intensities are outside the spectrum range. Due to the melt UV cutoff at \sim 280 nm, the UV absorption bands of these compounds could not be recorded.

Discussion

Spectroscopic and electrochemical results show that all the complexes studied here are stable (i.e., have fixed coordination spheres) in the melt compositions of 1.0:1.0 to 2:1 AlCl₃-BuPyCl. Although $Fe(bpy)_{3}^{2+}$ and $Fe(phen)_{3}^{2+}$ decompose in the basic melts to form $FeCl₄$, $Ru(bpy)₃^{2+}$ is stable and does not dissociate, perhaps due to the significantly greater lability of the **Fe(I1)-tris(po1ypyridine)** complexes over that of ruthenium.

On the basis of the foregoing observations and results presented in Tables 1-111, we conclude that in the entire region from neutral to 2:1 melts the oxidation of $Fe(bpy)_3^{2+}$, Fe- $(phen)_3^2$ ⁺, and Ru(bpy)₃²⁺ corresponds to a Nernstian oneelectron electrochemically reversible process. Reversible behavior for these couples has been found in other solvents.^{8,12,13} Consideration of E_f or $E_{1/2}$ from Tables I-III indicates that although these values shift slightly toward more positive potentials with increasing melt acidity, the variation in E_f or $E_{1/2}$ in going from 1:1 to 1.9:1 mole ratio for all of these redox couples is less than 90 mV (the reproducibility of the Al/ Al(III) reference electrode is ± 10 mV). This indicates that the influence of variation in the melt composition on the redox properties of these polypryridine complexes is relatively small, but not as small as for the ferrocene/ferrocenium couple. Robinson and Osteryoung reported that the formal potential value of ferrocene/ferrocenium in AlCl₃-BuPyCl melts was independent of melt acidity (with the E_f value of 0.27 V vs. $Al/Al(III)$.¹ This is understandable since the compact "sandwich" structure of ferrocene/ferrocenium compared to the open structure of polypyridine complexes should prevent the close approach of the solvent molecules to the metal redox center. A possible explanation of the observed variation in E_f for the polypyridine complexes with the melt acidity is the formation of ion pairs, most probably with $AICl₄$. As the acidity of the melt increases, the concentration of $AICl₄$ de-

⁽³¹⁾ Burgess, J.; Haines, R. **J.** *J. Znorg. Nucl. Chem.* **1977,** *39,* **1705.**

⁽³²⁾ McCaffery, A. **J.;** Mason, S. F.; Norman, B. **J.** *J. Chem. SOC. A* **1969, 1428.**

Table VI. Diffusion Coefficients (at $T = 40$ °C) and Radii of Polypyridine Fe(II) and Ru(II) Complexes in AlCl₃-BuPyCl Melts^a

		$Ru(bpy)$ ²⁺			
melt compositn	$10^8 Dn$ 10^7D . g cm/ s^2 cm ² /s		$Fe(bpy)$ ²⁺ $10^{7}D$, cm ² /s $10^{7}D$, cm ² /s	$Fe(phen)32+$	
1:1	2.75	6.05	2.61	2.43	
1.05:1	2.88	6.06			
1.2:1	2.99	6.00			
1.4:1	3.35	6.04			
1.7:1	3.67	6.05			
1.9:1	4.07	6.11			
		av 6.04 ± 0.04			
calcd radius of complex nm		0.57	0.60	0.64	

complex, nm

^a Values of the viscosity (η) and density used in the calculation of *0, Dq,* and radius are taken from ref **20.**

creases and E_f becomes more positive. In other words, with increasing acidity of the melt the extent of ion pairing between the polypyridine complexes of iron and ruthenium with $AICl₄$ decreases and the overall positive charge of the complex increases, making it more difficult to oxidize.

Comparison of the E_f values for $Fe(bpy)_{3}^{2+/3+}$, Fe- $(\text{phen})^{\frac{2+\frac{3}{7}}{1}}$, and Ru $(\text{bpy})^{\frac{2+\frac{3}{7}}{1}}$ (quoted vs. ferrocene/ferrocenium) in different solvents with those in the neutral melt is shown in Table V. It is obvious from this table that indeed the redox properties of these couples are relatively insensitive to the nature of the solvent. This is expected since the polypyridine ligand should somewhat shield the solvent from the metal cation. Although the redox properties of these polypyridine couples appear to be largely independent of the solvent, indicating that they might be used as internal reference redox couples, they apparently do not offer any advantage over the ferrocene, particularly in $AICl₃-BuPyCl$ melts.

In Table **VI** values of diffusion coefficients for the polypyridine complexes of iron and ruthenium are given. The values of *D* were obtained from RDE experiments with use of the Levich equation (eq 3), where I_1 is the limiting current

$$
I_1 = 0.62nFAD^{2/3}\omega^{1/2}v^{-1/6}C_0\tag{3}
$$

in amperes, *n* the number of electrons transferred, *F* the Faraday constant, A the area of the electrode in cm^2 , ω the rotation rate in rad/s, ν the kinematic viscosity, and C_0 the concentration of the electroactive species in mol $/cm^{3,18}$ Diffusion coefficients for Fe(bpy)₃²⁺ and Fe(phen)₃²⁺ were only determined in the neutral melt, where there was no chemical oxidation of the Fe(I1) complex and its concentration was known accurately. The observed change in the *D* value for $Ru(bpy)_{3}^{2+}$ in Table VI can be attributed to changes in the viscosity (η) of the melt. The values of *D* and η are related by the modified Stokes-Einstein equation³³ (eq 4), where κ

$$
D = \frac{\kappa T}{4\pi\eta r} \tag{4}
$$

is the Boltzmann constant and *r* **is** the radius of the diffusing species. The ηD values for Ru(bpy)₃²⁺ (tabulated in Table VI) are constant throughout the entire range of melt compositions studied here. Values of *r* calculated from eq **4** for polypyridine complexes are also listed in Table VI. These radii **(34)** Rillema, **D. P.;** Jones, D. *S. J. Chem. Soc.,* Chem. Commun. **1979,** 849.

are in reasonable agreement with their corresponding crystallographic values, $34,35$ indicating that solvation is not very important in this medium for these compounds.

Although the "spontaneous" oxidation of some aromatic hydrocarbons (with $E_{1/2}$ of less than \sim 1.4 V) in highly acidic AlCl,-BuPyCl melts has been observed by Robinson and Osteryoung, the nature of the oxidizing species was not speculated upon.¹ It is clear from this work that oxygen is an oxidant that oxidizes species with the $E_{1/2}$ of \sim 1.3 V and less in acidic AlC1,-BuPyCl melts. Considering our results and those observed previously for oxidations of aromatic hydrocarbons¹ and iodide,⁶ we conclude that O_2 can oxidize any species with an $E_{1/2}$ of 1.4 V or less in the acidic melts regardless of its chemical nature. In other words, the oxidation process is insensitive to the nature of the reductant and only depends on its formal potential, presumably according to the reaction

$$
O_2 + Red \rightleftarrows Ox + reduced O_2 \tag{5}
$$

with $E_f(O_2/\text{reduced }O_2) > E_f(\text{Red}/Ox)$. Since neither the reduction of oxygen nor the electrochemistry from its reduction product can be seen, it is not known whether the decrease in the rate of oxidation with decreasing melt acidity results from slow kinetics or unfavorable thermodynamics. One possible explanation is that, by decreasing melt acidity, the value of E_f for O_2 /reduced O_2 shifts to negative potentials. Indeed the absence of chemical oxidation of these compounds in the neutral or basic melts is a good indication of such an explanation. The other possibility is that the solubility of *0,* decreases drastically as the acidity decreases, making the oxidation more difficult.

It should also be pointed out that O_2 impurity in the drybox may not be the sole trace oxidant present in these melts. Perchlorate, as indicated above, also functions as an oxidant in acidic melts. Recent work on the reduction of proton arising from the addition of water to the melt indicates that the potential for evolution of hydrogen moves in the positive direction with increasing melt acidity, suggesting that the "proton", which may arise from HCl or an -Al-O-H entity formed as a result of water addition, is a stronger oxidant in acidic than in basic melts.³⁶ However, experiments at a platinum electrode in a melt containing both water and the Ru complex indicated that the ruthenium reduction takes place at more positive potentials than does hydrogen evolution. Nevertheless, the ability of proton to act as an oxidant in these melts at the trace levels should not be overlooked.

Acknowledgment. We wish to thank Dr. Peter G. Pickup for helpful discussions and assistance. Helpful suggestions by other members of the molten salt research group, particularly T. Zawodzinski and Drs. *Z.* Karpinski and M. Lipsztajn, are appreciated. This work was supported by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research and the Office of Naval Research.

Registry No. Fe(bpy)₃²⁺, 15025-74-8; Fe(phen)₃²⁺, 14708-99-7; **FeCl₄^{2–}, 17611-27-7; Ru(bpy)₃²⁺, 15158-62-0; Fe(bpy)₃³⁺, 18661-69-3;** Fe(phen)₃³⁺, 13479-49-7; Ru(bpy)₃³⁺, 18955-01-6; Fe(bpy)₃(ClO₄)₂ *02,* **7782-44-7; perchlorate, 14797-73-0. 15388-48-4;** AICl,, **7446-70-0;** BuPyC1, **1124-64-7;** AI, **7429-90-5;**

⁽³³⁾ McLaughlin, E. *Trans. Faraday SOC.* **1958, 55, 28.** 22, 1617.

⁽³⁵⁾ Zalkin, A.; Templeton, D. H.; Ueki, T*. Inorg. Chem.* 1973, 12, 1641.
(36) Sahami, S.; Osteryoung, R. A. *Anal. Chem.* 1983, 55, 1970.
(37) Rillema, D. P.; Allen, G.; Meyer, T. J.; Conrad, D*. Inorg. Chem*. 1983,